

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/635,734	ENJOJI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Stephen J. Kalafut	1745	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Amended

(1) Stephen J. Kalafut.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Anthony Laurentano.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 June 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*1, 18*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The word "metal" should be deleted from the last reference in each claim to a "porous metal conductive material", since the "porous conductive material" is defined to be either a "porous metal material" or a "conductive fiber aggregated material"*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)